UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,560	10/08/2003	Tun-Hsing Liu	MTKP0041USA	2559	
27765 7590 05/22/2008 NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506			EXAMINER		
			TECKLU, ISAAC TUKU		
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2192			
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/22/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/605,560	LIU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ISAAC T. TECKLU	2192	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ft)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The approprianally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIAN. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	t unicata tha data af filian a baiaf		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116	21 See attached Notice of Non-Col	mnliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Amendment (i	101-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but .	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Tuan Q. Dam/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2192			

Continuation of 13. Other: In the Remark, the Applicant argues:

"Kato neither teaches nor suggests comparing firmware to be downloaded with current firmware, and replacing a first compared firmware with a second compared firmware (pages 3 and 4).

Examiner Responses:

Examiner would like to direct the Applicant to Fig. 4 of Kato's invention. Fig. 4 illustrates firmware exchange tables. It shows examples of tables having information on firmware compatibility. The right side in each drawing of FIG. 4 shows the corresponding download in each table. Kato compares peripheral device A version 2 (firmware to be downloaded equivalent to first program, emphasis added) with peripheral device A version 1 (current version to be replaced equivalent to second program, emphasis added), then downloads version 2 (see at least Fig. 4, right side 2,). Accordingly, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.